

Item 4. Continuance of Pial Schools

The Commissioner for the Advancement of Backward Communities gave a descriptive statement regarding the working of the pial schools at present. The question of taking up these schools by the Education Department was also discussed. The Committee was of the view that the pial schools may be taken over by the Education Department and run as regular primary schools. Until that Department is prepared to take them over, it was decided that the schools should continue under the Department for the Advancement of Backward Communities as at present. The number of pial schools may be increased to 50 in the course of the current year and the number of classes may be increased wherever necessary.

Item 5. Inclusion of new Communities under 'Other Backward Communities'

(i) *Viswakarmalas*.—After some discussion it was decided that Viswakarmala Community need not be included under 'Other Backward Communities'.

(ii) *Alwars*.—Professionally Alwars are akin to Kusavas. It is not therefore necessary to include them as a separate community. It was decided that a clarification may be made that 'Kusavas' now included under 'Other Backward Communities' includes Alwars also and that they should be given the benefits available to 'Other Backward Communities'.

(iii) *Veluthedath Nayar*.—It was decided that Veluthedath Nayar Community need not be included under 'Other Backward Communities'.

(iv) *Thondaiman*.—The Committee agreed to include Thondaiman Community under the category 'Other Backward Communities'.

(v) *Thelugu Chetti*.—It was decided that Thelugu Chetties need not be included under 'Other Backward Communities' now and that the question of the inclusion of this Community under the list may be further investigated.

(vi) *Sakravar or Kavathi or Kerala Muthali*.—The Committee agreed that Sakravar, Kavathi or Kerala Muthali may be included under the category of 'Other Backward Communities'.

Item 6. Other matters admitted by the Chairman

The following resolution regarding the raising of the status of the Commissioner for the Advancement of Backward Communities to that of a First Grade Officer, moved by Sri. N. S. Kochukunju was taken up for discussion. After some discussion, the resolution was passed with only Sri. C. C. Prasad opposing it.

“അവശസമുദായ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെന്റിൽനിന്നും മറ്റു ചിലക്കൂട്ടം ഉപയിൽക്കൂട്ടത്തിൽ വർദ്ധിപ്പിക്കുവാൻ ചിലവാക്കേണ്ടിയിരിക്കുന്നതിനാലും, പുറമേ സെൻട്രൽ ഗവണ്മെന്റിൽനിന്നും ഇതൊപ്പം തുകകൾ ചിലവഴിക്കാൻ തരമെന്നുള്ളതിനാലും അവശസമുദായങ്ങൾക്കെല്ലാം തുല്യമായ ഒരു ഭരണം നിലവിലുണ്ടാകുകയും ഈ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെന്റ് മേഖലയ്ക്കുനായി ഒരു ഫസ്റ്റ് ഗ്രേഡ് ഓഫീസറെ നിയമിക്കേണ്ടതാണെന്നും ഈ കമ്മിറ്റി ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗവണ്മെന്റിനോടു ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യുന്നു.”

The Committee rose at 4-55 p.m.

ADMISSION TO MEDICAL COLLEGE

*394. [28] Sri. Eappen Arakkal: Will the hon. Minister for Medicine be pleased to state:—

(a) was there any reservation for students of backward or unrepresented communities in the matter of admission to the Medical College;

(b) what all communities were thus given reservation;

(c) will the Government be pleased to state the number of qualified applicants for admission from each of these communities and the number of students admitted to the Medical College from such communities.

Chief Minister (Sri. C. Kesavan):

(a) Yes. There was reservation first for 5 seats for scheduled castes and other backward communities. Subsequently 15 seats more were reserved for educationally and socially backward communities.

(b) Nadar, Muslim, Barber, Latin Catholic, S. I. U. C., Kammala, Pulaya, Vala, Araya, Cheramar, Christian and Ezhava.

(c) Community	No. of qualified applicants	No. Admitted
Nadar	1	1
Muslim	11	3
Barber	1	1
Latin Catholics	11	3
S. I. U. C.	18	1
Kammala	2	1
Pulaya	1	1
Vala	2	1
Araya	1	1
Cheramar Xian	2	1
Ezhava	64	10

VILLAGE ROADS

*395. [45] **Sri. Neelacanthu Pandarathil:** Will the hon. Chief Minister be pleased to state:—

(a) whether recommendations of the Taluk Works Committee for extension of Village Roads, etc., have been considered when 5 year and 10 year plans were prepared by Government; and

(b) whether it is a fact that many important recommendations of the Committee have been completely left out?

Minister for Public Works (Sri. G. Chandrasekhara Pillai): (a) Yes.

(b) Yes. Some of the recommendations of the Taluk Works Committees had to be left out, as the scheme aimed at is the development of rural communications on a planned basis, to promote an all round Village Road Development of the State, and not improving roads of purely local importance.

നെയാറ്റിൻകര മുനിസിപ്പാലിറ്റി

*നമ്പ. (൫൧) ശ്രീ. എൻ. കെ. കൃഷ്ണപിള്ള: താഴെ പറയുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക് ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട സ്വയംഭരണവകുപ്പുമന്ത്രി സദയം മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

(എ) നെയാറ്റിൻകര മുനിസിപ്പാലിറ്റിയിൽ കൃഷ്ണപുരം വാർഡിൽ ഒരു മെമ്പറുടെ ഒഴിവുവന്നിട്ട് എത്ര കൊല്ലമായി;

(ബി) ഇനിയും പകരം ഒരാളെ തിരഞ്ഞെടുക്കാത്തതു എന്തുകൊണ്ട്? തൊഴിലും, വ്യവസായവും വകുപ്പുമന്ത്രി

(ശ്രീ. പി. കെ. കൃഷ്ണൻകുട്ടിമേനോൻ):

(എ) ഒഴിവുവന്നിട്ട് രണ്ടരകൊല്ലമായി.

(ബി) പകരം തിരഞ്ഞെടുപ്പിന് നേരിട്ടിട്ടുള്ള താമസത്തിനുള്ള കാരണങ്ങളെപ്പറ്റി അന്വേഷിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്.